

# (Section I)

## 1.Introduction

---

### 1.1 - State Party

Validate		Update	
----------	--	--------	--

Your comment:

---

### 1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance to the *Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage*

Validate		Update	
----------	--	--------	--

Your comment:

---

### 1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

You can check multiple boxes		
1.3.1	Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage	
1.3.2	UNESCO National Commission	
1.3.3	World Heritage property managers/coordinators	
1.3.4	Non Governmental Organizations	
1.3.5	ICOMOS International	
1.3.6	IUCN International	
1.3.7	ICCROM	
1.3.8	ICOMOS national/regional	
1.3.9	IUCN national/regional	
1.3.10	External experts	
1.3.11	Donors	

1.3.12	Others	
--------	--------	--

**1.4 - Primary government authority(ies) responsible for the implementation of the *Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage***

Validate		Update	
----------	--	--------	--

Your comment:

--

**1.5 - Other key institution(s) responsible for the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of Cultural and Natural Heritage**

<b>Organisation</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Web address</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Person responsible</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Title</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Telephone</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Fax</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>E-mail</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Scope (national, regional, local)</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Domain (cultural, natural)</b>	<input type="text"/>

**1.6 - Comments**

--

## **2. Inventories/lists/registers for cultural and natural heritage**

**2.1 - If the State Party has established inventories/lists/registers of cultural heritage, at what level(s) are they compiled and what is their current status?**

Current status	0 Not applicable	1 No process established	2 Process commenced	3 Process well-advanced	4 Process completed or continually updated
2.1.1	National				
2.1.2	Regional / provincial / state				

2.1.3	Local	
2.1.4	Other (please provide details in 2.7)	

**2.2 - If the State Party has established inventories/lists/registers of natural heritage, at what level(s) are they compiled and what is their current status?**

Current status	0 Not applicable	1 No process established	2 Process commenced	3 Process well-advanced	4 Process completed or continually updated
2.2.1	National				
2.2.2	Regional / provincial / state				
2.2.3	Local				
2.2.4	Other (please provide details in 2.7)				

**2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?**

Check only one box	
2.3.1	No inventories/lists/registers have been established for cultural and natural heritage.
2.3.2	Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
2.3.3	Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of cultural and natural heritage.
2.3.4	Inventories/lists/registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

**2.4 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural heritage?**

Check only one box	
2.4.1	No inventories/lists/registers have been established for cultural heritage.
2.4.2	Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of cultural heritage.
2.4.3	Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of cultural heritage.
2.4.4	Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

**2.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified natural heritage?**

Check only one box	
2.5.1	No inventories/lists/registers have been established for natural heritage.
2.5.2	Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of natural heritage.

2.5.3	Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of natural heritage.	
2.5.4	Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of natural heritage.	

**2.6 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?**

Check only one box		
2.6.1	No inventories/lists/registers have been established for cultural and natural heritage.	
2.6.2	Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of properties for inclusion on the Tentative List.	
2.6.3	Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.	
2.6.4	Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.	

**2.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)**

--

### 3. Tentative List

**3.1 - In reference to your [Tentative List](#), please indicate, as far as possible, the potential timetable for future nominations to the World Heritage List within the next six years.**

<b>Property name</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Anticipated year of nomination (if known)</b>	<input type="text"/>

**3.2 - In the process of preparation of your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?**

Gaps analysis: ICOMOS -The World Heritage List - Filling the Gaps – An Action Plan

IUCN - The World Heritage List: Future priorities for a credible and complete list of natural and mixed sites (Document WHC-04/28.COM/INF.13B)

You can check multiple boxes		
3.2.1	ICOMOS thematic studies	
3.2.2	IUCN thematic studies	
3.2.3	Filling the gaps – an action plan for the future by ICOMOS, Gaps analysis by IUCN	
3.2.4	Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region	
3.2.5	UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List	
3.2.6	Other global comparative analysis	

3.2.7	Others (please provide details in 3.7)	
3.2.8	None of the above	

**3.3 - Please rate level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List**

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 No involvement	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good
3.3.1	National government institution(s)					
3.3.2	Regional/provincial/state/government(s)					
3.3.3	Local government(s)					
3.3.4	Other government departments					
3.3.5	UNESCO National Commission					
3.3.6	Local authorities within or adjacent to the property					
3.3.7	Local communities/residents					
3.3.8	Indigenous peoples					
3.3.9	Landowners					
3.3.10	Local industries					
3.3.11	Non Governmental Organization(s)					
3.3.12	Consultants/experts					
3.3.13	Site manager/coordinator(s)					

**3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?**

Check only one box	
3.4.1	Yes
3.4.2	No

**3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?**

<b>Organisation</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Web address</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Person responsible</b>	<input type="text"/>

<b>Title</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Telephone</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Fax</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>E-mail</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Domain (cultural, natural)</b>	<input type="text"/>

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Check only one box		
3.6.1	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.6.2	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

3.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.7)

--

## 4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

ID	Name	Date of submission	Status
Validate		Update	

Your comment:

--

4.2 - Please rate level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Not applicable	1 No involvement	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good
4.2.1	National government institution(s)				
4.2.2	Regional/provincial/state government(s)				

4.2.3	Local government(s)	
4.2.4	Other government departments	
4.2.5	UNESCO National Commission	
4.2.6	Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	
4.2.7	Local communities/residents	
4.2.8	Indigenous peoples	
4.2.9	Landowners	
4.2.10	Local industries	
4.2.11	Non Governmental Organization(s)	
4.2.12	Consultants/experts	
4.2.13	Site manager/coordinator	

**4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List**

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Not applicable	1 Low benefit	2 Limited benefit	3 Some benefit	4 High benefit
4.3.1	Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)				
4.3.2	Enhanced conservation practices				
4.3.3	Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage				
4.3.4	Improved presentation of sites				
4.3.5	Enhanced honour/prestige				
4.3.6	Increased funding				
4.3.7	Additional tool for lobbying/political influence				
4.3.8	Stimulus for enhanced partnerships				
4.3.9	Increased recognition for tourism and public use				
4.3.10	Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities				
4.3.11	Others (please provide details in 4.4)				

**4.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Nominations of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.3)**

--

## 5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage

Title	Year	Link to source
Validate		Update

Your comment:

--

5.2 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation and presentation of World Heritage, not listed in 5.1, please add them here

Name	<input type="text"/>
Year	<input type="text"/>
Scope (national, regional/provincial/state, local)	<input type="text"/>
Type (cultural, natural)	<input type="text"/>
Website	<input type="text"/>

5.3 - Comments: Please use this textbox to describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage.

--

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

Check only one box	
5.4.1	<b>There is no legal framework</b> for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.
5.4.2	<b>The legal framework is inadequate</b> for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.
5.4.3	<b>The legal framework is adequate</b> for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

Check only one box	
5.5.1	There is no legal framework.
5.5.2	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.



5.5.3	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	
5.5.4	There is excellent capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	

**5.6 - What other international conventions for the protection of cultural and/or natural heritage has the State Party adhered to?**

Validate		Update	
----------	--	--------	--

**Your comment:**

--

**5.7 - Is the implementation of these international conventions coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

Check only one box		
5.7.1	There is no coordination nor integration of the implementation of these international conventions into national policies.	
5.7.2	There is limited coordination and integration.	
5.7.3	There is adequate coordination and integration.	

**5.8 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?**

Check only one box		
5.8.1	There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities.	
5.8.2	There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.	
5.8.3	There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.	
5.8.4	There are policies that are effectively implemented.	

**5.9 - How effectively do the State Party's policies integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?**

Check only one box		
5.9.1	There are no specific policies to integrate heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning.	
5.9.2	There are no specific policies, but nonetheless this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.	
5.9.3	There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.	
5.9.4	There are policies that are effectively implemented.	

## 6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

**6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?**

Check only one box		
6.1.1	There is no cooperation between the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.1.2	There is limited cooperation between the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.1.3	There is some cooperation between the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.	
6.1.4	There is effective cooperation between principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	

**6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies (e.g. responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?**

Check only one box		
6.2.1	There is no cooperation between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.2.2	There is limited cooperation between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.2.3	In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.	
6.2.4	All principal agencies/institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage are effectively cooperating with other government agencies.	

**6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

Check only one box		
6.3.1	There is no cooperation between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.3.2	There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	
6.3.3	In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.	
6.3.4	Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.	

**6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies/institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?**

Check only one box		
--------------------	--	--

6.4.1	There is no capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.	
6.4.2	There is some capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties but significant deficiencies remain.	
6.4.3	There is adequate capacity within services to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.	
6.4.4	There is excellent capacity to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.	

**6.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to services for protection, conservation and presentation (Questions 6.1 to 6.4)**

--

## **7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

**7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?**

Check only one box		
7.1.1	There is no research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.	
7.1.2	There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.	
7.1.3	There is a comprehensive research programme specifically addressing World Heritage.	

**7.2 - Provide details about significant research projects (up to a maximum of five) that have been undertaken since the last periodic report**

Examples of these studies include impact of WH designation, conservation methodology, research to improve understanding and significance of sites

<b>Name</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Date</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Scope (national, regional, local)</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Type (cultural, natural, both)</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Website</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Comments for explaining scope</b>	<input type="text"/>

**7.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific, technical studies and research (Questions 7.1 to 7.2)**

--

## 8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Not applicable	1 Minor source of fixed term funding	2 Minor source of sustained funding	3 Major source of fixed term funding	4 Major source of sustained funding
8.1.1	National government funds				
8.1.2	Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)				
8.1.3	International assistance from the World Heritage Fund				
8.1.4	International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)				
8.1.5	International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)				
8.1.6	NGOs (international and/ or national)				
8.1.7	Private sector funds				
8.1.8	Other (Please specify in 8.6)				

8.2 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and donations for the protection of World Heritage?

Check only one box	
8.2.1	Yes
8.2.2	No

8.3 - Does the State Party have national policies for the allocation of site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

Check only one box	
8.3.1	Yes
8.3.2	No

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

Check only one box	
8.4.1	The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.
8.4.2	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.
8.4.3	The available budget is acceptable to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.

8.4.4	The available budget is sufficient but further funding would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.	
-------	---	--

**8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?**

Check only one box		
8.5.1	Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and natural heritage.	
8.5.2	A range of human resources exist, but they are below optimum to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage.	
8.5.3	Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.	
8.5.4	Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.	

**8.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)**

--

## 9. Training

**9.1 - Provide details about formal training / educational institutions / programs (up to a maximum of five) relevant to World Heritage**

<b>Organisation</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Scope (national, regional, local)</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Type (cultural, natural, both)</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Website</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Comments (e.g. degree, scope, etc.)</b>	<input type="text"/>

**9.2 - Please assess the training needs in the following fields identified in your country for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.**

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Not applicable	1 Very low priority	2 Low priority	3 Medium priority	4 High priority
9.2.1	Conservation				
9.2.2	Education				
9.2.3	Promotion				
9.2.4	Interpretation				
9.2.5	Administration				

9.2.6	Visitor management	
9.2.7	Community outreach	
9.2.8	Risk preparedness	
9.2.9	Enforcement (custodians, police)	
9.2.10	Other	

**9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?**

Check only one box		
9.3.1	There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation.	
9.3.2	There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.	
9.3.3	There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but there are some deficiencies in implementation.	
9.3.4	There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation that is effectively implemented.	

**9.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Training (Questions 9.1 to 9.3)**

--

## **10. International Cooperation**

**10.1 - If your country co-operated with other States Parties for the identification, protection, conservation and preservation of the World Heritage located on their territories since the last periodic report, please indicate the type of co-operation that best describes your activities.**

You can check multiple boxes		
10.1.1	No cooperation	
10.1.2	Participation in other UN programmes	
10.1.3	Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements	
10.1.4	Financial support	
10.1.5	Contributions to private organisations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage	
10.1.6	Participation in foundations for international cooperation	
10.1.7	Sharing expertise for capacity building	
10.1.8	Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars	

10.1.9	Distribution of material/information	
10.1.10	Other (please specify in 10.3)	

**10.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?**

Check only one box		
10.2.1	Yes	
10.2.2	No	

**10.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 10.1 to 10.2)**

--

## **11. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

### **11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion**

What media does your State Party use to present and promote its World Heritage Sites?

Please indicate which of the following forms of media are used and for what purpose (information, awareness raising and/or education) and at what scale (local, regional, national or international level). Please tick all that apply.

#### **11.1.1 - Publications**

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.1.1	Not applicable	
11.1.1.2	Information	
11.1.1.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.1.4	Education	
11.1.1.5	International	
11.1.1.6	National	
11.1.1.7	Regional	
11.1.1.8	Local	

#### **11.1.2 - Films / TV**

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.2.1	Not applicable	

11.1.2.2	Information	
11.1.2.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.2.4	Education	
11.1.2.5	International	
11.1.2.6	National	
11.1.2.7	Regional	
11.1.2.8	Local	

### 11.1.3 - Media campaigns

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.3.1	Not applicable	
11.1.3.2	Information	
11.1.3.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.3.4	Education	
11.1.3.5	International	
11.1.3.6	National	
11.1.3.7	Regional	
11.1.3.8	Local	

### 11.1.4 - Internet

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.4.1	Not applicable	
11.1.4.2	Information	
11.1.4.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.4.4	Education	
11.1.4.5	International	
11.1.4.6	National	
11.1.4.7	Regional	



11.1.4.8	Local	
----------	-------	--

#### 11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.5.1	Not applicable	
11.1.5.2	Information	
11.1.5.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.5.4	Education	
11.1.5.5	International	
11.1.5.6	National	
11.1.5.7	Regional	
11.1.5.8	Local	

#### 11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.6.1	Not applicable	
11.1.6.2	Information	
11.1.6.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.6.4	Education	
11.1.6.5	International	
11.1.6.6	National	
11.1.6.7	Regional	
11.1.6.8	Local	

#### 11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.7.1	Not applicable	
11.1.7.2	Information	
11.1.7.3	Awareness Raising	

11.1.7.4	Education	
11.1.7.5	International	
11.1.7.6	National	
11.1.7.7	Regional	
11.1.7.8	Local	

**11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)**

You can check multiple boxes		
11.1.8.1	Not applicable	
11.1.8.2	Information	
11.1.8.3	Awareness Raising	
11.1.8.4	Education	
11.1.8.5	International	
11.1.8.6	National	
11.1.8.7	Regional	
11.1.8.8	Local	

**11.1.9 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Media used for the promotion of World Heritage sites (Questions 11.1.1 to 11.1.8)**

--

## **11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**11.2.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?**

Check only one box		
11.2.1.1	There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage.	
11.2.1.2	There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.	
11.2.1.3	There are strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but there are some deficiencies in implementation.	
11.2.1.4	There are strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage that are being effectively implemented.	

**11.2.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following audiences about World Heritage in your country**

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not Applicable	1 No awareness	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good
11.2.2.1	Private Sector					
11.2.2.2	Youth					
11.2.2.3	Communities living in/around heritage sites					
11.2.2.4	Tourism industry					
11.2.2.5	Decision makers and public officials					
11.2.2.6	Indigenous peoples					
11.2.2.7	General public					

**11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's *World Heritage in Young Hands* programme?**

Check only one box	
11.2.3.1	The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's <i>World Heritage in Young Hands</i> programme.
11.2.3.2	The State Party does not participate in UNESCO's <i>World Heritage in Young Hands</i> programme but intends to do so.
11.2.3.3	The State Party participates in UNESCO's <i>World Heritage in Young Hands</i> programme.
11.2.3.4	The State Party participates in UNESCO's <i>World Heritage in Young Hands</i> programme and has integrated World Heritage Education in School Curricula.

**11.2.4 - If yes, please rate the level of frequency of the following activities:**

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Never	1 Once	2 Occasionally	3 Often	4 Regularly
11.2.4.1	Courses for teachers for the use of the <i>World Heritage in Young Hands Kit</i>					
11.2.4.2	Courses/activities for students within the school programmes					
11.2.4.3	Youth Forums					
11.2.4.4	Skills-training courses for students					
11.2.4.5	Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites					
11.2.4.6	Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations					
11.2.4.7	Other (comment below)					

11.2.5 - Comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Education, Information and awareness building (Questions 11.2.1 to 11.2.4)

--

## **12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions**

---

### **12.1. State Party's implementation of the *World Heritage Convention***

This table is generated automatically based on the answers you provided in the questionnaire.

---

### **12.1.1 - Identification of heritage**

**Identification of heritage**

### **12.1.2 - National Inventories**

**National Inventories**

### **12.1.3 - Tentative List**

**Tentative List**

### **12.1.4 - Legal framework**

**Legal framework**

### **12.1.5 - Integration of conventions**

**Integration of conventions**

### **12.1.6 - Communities**

**Communities**

### **12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning**

**Larger-scale planning**

### **12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

**Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation**

### **12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

**Scientific and Technical Studies and Research**

### **12.1.10 - Financial status**

**Financial status**

### **12.1.11 - Human resources**

**Human resources**

### **12.1.12 - Training**

**Training**

### 12.1.13 - International cooperation

International cooperation

### 12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

Education, Information and awareness building

## 12.2. Actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* (identified from table 12.1).

### 12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

## 12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

### 12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

#### Management Need 1

#### Action

#### Short description

#### Authority(ies) responsible


#### Timeframe

#### May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?


## Management Need 2

---


### Action




### Short description




### Authority(ies) responsible



### Timeframe




### May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?




## Management Need 3

---


### Action



### Short description



### Authority(ies) responsible



### Timeframe

**May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?**

**Management Need 4**

---

**Action**

**Short description**

**Authority(ies) responsible**

**Timeframe**

**May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?**

**Management Need 5**

---

**Action**

**Short description**



**Authority(ies) responsible**

**Timeframe**

**May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?**

**Management Need 6**

---


**Action**

**Short description**

**Authority(ies) responsible**

**Timeframe**

**May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?**



### 12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

--

## 13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Check only one box		
13.1.1	Yes	
13.1.2	No	

### 13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

--

### 13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

Rating on a 4 point scale	0 Not applicable	1 No support	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good	
13.3.1	World Heritage Centre					
13.3.2	UNESCO (other sectors)					
13.3.3	UNESCO National Commission					
13.3.4	ICOMOS International					
13.3.5	IUCN International					
13.3.6	ICCROM					
13.3.7	ICOMOS national / regional					
13.3.8	IUCN national / regional					

### 13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Check only one box		
13.4.1	Little of the required information was accessible	
13.4.2	Not all required information was accessible	

13.4.3	Most required information was accessible	
13.4.4	All required information was accessible	

**13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

Rating on a 4 point scale		0 Not applicable	1 No follow-up	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good
13.5.1	UNESCO					
13.5.2	Advisory Bodies					
13.5.3	State Party					
13.5.4	Site Managers					

**13.6 - Comments, Conclusions and/or Recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

--

**14. Thank you for having filled in all the questions in Section I and for having validated Section(s) II. Please submit the questionnaire (Section I and Section(s) II) to the World Heritage Centre.**

---